

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,386	01/28/2004	Travis Swanson	DB001098-000	3348
57694 7590 04/18/2007 JONES DAY 500 GRANT STREET SUITE 3100 PITTSBURGH, PA 15219-2502			EXAMINER	
			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2117	
·=· ·=· =				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

10/766,386 , SWANSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Phung My Chung 2138					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence add Period for Reply	ress -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status St					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 January 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 14 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 15,16 and 23 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2138

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country. More than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiated by Silvestri (6,385,129).

Claim 14, Silvestri discloses a system comprising:

A memory chip (505);

A bus (511, 512) having a plurality of bit lines; and

A processor (502) connected to the memory chip via the bus and a communication therewith through the bus, wherein the processor is configured to perform the following:

Select a first group of bit lines from the bus to carry a first plurality of data patterns;

Select at least one of the remaining bit lines from the bus not within the first group to carry a second plurality of data patterns;

Transmit the first plurality of data patterns on the first group of bit lines a selected one of the plurality of bit lines in the bus, and

Transmit the second plurality of data patterns on the at least one of the remaining bit lines one or more of the plurality of bit lines other than the selected bit line. These

Art Unit: 2138

function are inherent in the system of Silvestri. (See Fig. 5, col. 5, lines 57-67 to col. 6, lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-7 and 17-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silvestri (6,385,129) in view of Medlock et al (6,567,017).

As per claims 17-22, the teaching of Silvestri has been discussed above. Silvestri does not disclose a first linear feedback shift register (LFSR) configured to generate the first plurality of data patterns; and

A second linear feedback shift register (LFSR) configured to generate the second plurality of data patterns. However, Medlock et al disclose a first linear feedback shift register (LFSR) configured to generate the first plurality of data patterns; and

A second linear feedback shift register (LFSR) configured to generate the second plurality of data patterns. (See col. 38, lines 65-67 to col. 39, lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the first linear feedback shift register (LFSR) configured to generate the first plurality of data patterns; and

the second linear feedback shift register (LFSR) configured to generate the second plurality of data patterns as taught by Medlock et al into the invention of Silvestri so that data patterns can be generated and transmitted indepently from each other by the first and second LFSRs.

As per claims 1-7, these method claims are rejected under similar rationale as set forth in the system claims 14 and 17-22.

- 5. Claims 8-13 are allowable.
- 6. Claims 15-16 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered and are smooth in the new ground of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2138

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,386

Art Unit: 2138

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phung My Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unk 2138